Retrospective

Template

INSTRUCTIONS:

Answer the below questions. Remember to be honest about your experience. This will help the team make the necessary adjustments for the next sprint.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Project Title: | Cloud Kitchen |
| Sprint #: | Sprint 1 |

|  |
| --- |
| What worked well this sprint? |
| **Clear Role Distribution:** Tasks were well-distributed among team members, leading to efficient progress and Strong Collaborationin frontend, backend, and UI design.  **Successful Feature Integration:** Core functionalities such as homepage, registration flows, and profile modules were successfully designed, developed, and integrated.  **Story Points Completion**: We successfully completed all 110 story points out of 113 points planned for the sprint. |
| What didn’t work so well this sprint? |
| **Backlog Estimation Issues:** Some features, particularly delivery partner verification which are almost 3 story points, were underestimated in terms of complexity and time required.  **Slow Issue Resolution:** There were minor delays in addressing technical challenges and bugs during development. ****Frontend Rendering Slow:**** The **frontend rendering was slow**, affecting the overall user experience. **Limited Time for QA:** Verification and testing for delivery-related tasks were rushed due to tight timelines. |
| What will we do to improve in the next sprint? |
| **Improve Backlog Refinement:** Incorporate more accurate estimation techniques and account for potential integration/testing overhead.  **Strengthen Daily Standups:** Encourage more detailed updates to surface blockers early and speed up issue resolution.  **Allocate a Buffer:** Dedicate specific time slots for verification and quality assurance to avoid last-minute rush. |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Sprint #: | Sprint 2 |

|  |
| --- |
| What worked well this sprint? |
| **Team Collaboration:** The team worked really well together, which helped us complete important features like kitchen/menu browsing, placing orders, and the cart system on time.  **Effective Communication:** We gave regular updates and had daily meetings, which helped us stay organized and made sure everyone knew what to do.  **Robust Feature Development:** We successfully built and connected the backend and frontend parts for features like customizing orders, payments, and chef reviews.  **Story Points Completion**: We successfully completed all 98 story points planned for the sprint. |
| What didn’t work so well this sprint? |
| **Unfinished Tasks**: Some new features like “Save Preferences” and talking between customer and chef were started late and couldn’t be finished on time.  **Inconsistent Check-ins:** Even though we mostly communicate well, sometimes we missed check-ins, which caused small delays in combining our work. |

|  |
| --- |
| What will we do to improve in the next sprint? |
| **Prioritize Pending Items:** We will first finish the tasks that are left from the last sprint, so the  backlog doesn’t keep getting bigger.  **Increase Internal Syncs:** Along with daily standups, we will add short meetings in the middle or end of the day to solve any problems quickly.  **Track Progress More Rigorously:** We will update tools like Jira or Github more properly to keep a close eye on task progress and dependencies. |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Sprint #: | Sprint 3 |

|  |
| --- |
| What worked well this sprint? |
| **Chef-Rider Flow Completed:** We successfully implemented the full chef-to-rider interaction, including order status updates and delivery fee handling.  **Good Task Division:** Tasks were clearly split with balanced story points (mostly 3–8 SP), which helped avoid overload and kept the team focused.  **Smooth Integrations:** Backend and frontend components were well integrated, especially by Noor, keeping the features connected and functional.  **Story Points Completion:** We successfully completed all 68 story points planned for the sprint. |
| What didn’t work so well this sprint? |
| **Tight Final Integrations:** Some parts felt rushed, especially toward the end while syncing rider updates.  **Limited Frontend Testing:** We focused more on backend logic and missed detailed testing of some UI features. ****Time Management Issues:** Time management was affected** due to an unexpected situation where **one team member got injured** |

|  |
| --- |
| What will we do to improve in the next sprint? |
| **Refine Story Point Estimates**: Re-evaluate SPs for complex tasks to improve planning accuracy.  **Improve UI Feedback Loop**: Involve the full team earlier for reviewing frontend design and usability. |